

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,820	05/23/2005	Emil Edwin	04150.0016U1	2413
23859 NEEDLE & R	7590 08/21/2007 OSENBERG, P.C.	EXAMINER		
SUITE 1000			PATIDAR, JAY M	
999 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309-3915			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2862	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		10/521,820	EDWIN ET AL.			
	onice Action outlinary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Jay M. Patidar	2862			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, ma vill apply and will expire SIX (6) for the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 May 2007</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-18 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4,6,7,10-12 and 14-18 is/are rejected Claim(s) 8,9 and 13 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
	ion Papers					
-	The specification is objected to by the Examine		to by the Everniner			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Briority	undor 25 11 S.C. S. 449					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Noti	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2862

This communication is in response to applicant's amendment received on
 May 21, 2007.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4,6-7,10-12,14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Golick et al. (EP 0028487).

As to claims 1-2,6,16, Golick discloses a method and apparatus for magnetically detecting thickness of the coating with a Hall sensing element 54 and a magnetic field generating means 54 (note fig. 1, whole document). The thickness is estimated from the resultant magnetic flux density at the surface of the steel member. Golick does not explicitly disclose measuring chromium depletion from a steel member. The provision of measuring chromium depletion is considered an intended manner of operation or intended use. It is known in the art that chromium is magnetic permeable, one ordinary skill in the art would monitor or detect such portion by measuring magnetic field density at the

Art Unit: 2862

surface. Therefore, by measuring the magnetic flux density, an indication of the amount of chromium could be made and would provide the thickness of it.

Consequently, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the device of Golick to monitor or measure the thickness of chromium depletion in the cracking tubes since such device is known in the magnetic field sensing art to determine the thickness of a layer or a coating using the combination of Hall sensor and the permanent magnet.

As to claims 3,4,14-15,17-18, one ordinary skill in the art would estimate the state of a surface oxide layer from the measurement of the thickness or state of burners since the chromium-depleted layer provides information regarding the oxide layer and the state of burners.

As to claim 7, Golick discloses the flux lines being normal to the surface (fig. 1).

As to claim 10, the magnetic field is generated by a permanent magnet 52 (fig. 1).

As to claims 11, Golick discloses a Hall effect element 54 proximate the surface of the object (fig. 1).

As to claim 12, the use of a protective cover or pad between the sensor and the object is known in the magnetic field art to protect the sensing element.

Art Unit: 2862

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to rejected claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jay M. Patidar whose telephone number is 571-272-2265. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:00-5:30.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2862

/Jay M. Patidar/
Jay M. Patidar
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2862
Email: Jay.Patidar@USPTO.gov

August 8, 2007